Practitioner's Docket No. MSU 4.1-546

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Donald Penner, Christy L. Sprague and Richard F. Burow

Application No.:

09/ 777,320 Group No.: 1616

Filed: February 6, 2001

Examiner: Alton Nathaniel Pryor

For: COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR PROTECTING CULTIVATED PLANTS

FROM HERBICIDAL INJURY

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

WARNING: Failure to file a complete response in compliance with § 1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term adjustment -- See § 1.704(c)(7).

Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

STATUS

2.	Α	pplic	ant`	is	No. of the second secon							
			a s	mall entity. A statement:	•							
				is attached.								
				was already filed.								
				(When using Express Mail, the	ER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* Express Mail label number is mandatory; I certification is optional.)							
l h	ereby	y cert	ify th	at, on the date shown below, t	nis correspondence is being:							
	MAILING											
X	dep Box	osite	d with 0, Ale	the United States Postal Servic xandria, VA 22313-1450	te in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.							
				C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *							
X	with	suffi	icient	postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"							
	•				Mailing Label No (mandatory)							
	TRANSMISSION											
	facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703)											
					Ossarà Rthux							
	Λ	05/11/04 Signature										
Dat	e: <u>U</u>	ر /د	1/	<u>. 4</u>	Jessica R. House							
			•		(type or print name of person certifying)							
					WAS SE PRINT HAIRS OF PASON CAMPING							

* Only the date of filing (\$ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 1 of 4)

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

- NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.
- NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) "... an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."
- 3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity
one month two months three months four months	\$ 110.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 950.00 \$ 1,480.00	\$ 55.00 \$ 210.00 \$ 475.00 \$ 740.00

Fee: \$____

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for	_ months has	already beer	secured.	. The	fee
paid therefor of \$ is de	educted from	the total fee	due for	the t	otal
months of extension now requeste	ed.				
·					

Extension fee due with this request

_		_
•	п	_

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

	(Col. 1)				(Col. 2)		(Col. 3)		L ENTIT	Y	OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY			
		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		PR	GHEST NO EVIOUSLY AID FOR		ESENT KTRA	RATE	ADDI FEE		RATE	Α	DDIT. FEE	
TOTA		17	MINUS	••	86	=	-0-	x\$9=	\$		x\$18=	\$	0.0	
INDEF	2.	3	MINUS	***	24	=	-0-	x\$43=	\$		x\$86=	\$	0.0	
☐ FIR	ST F	RESENTATION	OF MUL	TIPLE	DEP. CLAIN	1		+\$145=	= \$		+ \$290 =	\$	0.0	
							ADE	TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$			
.:: WAI	If the	e "Highest No. e "Highest No. I "Highest No. I in Col. 1 of a G: "After final with any n	Previously Previously prior amed rejection	y Paid Paid I ndmer or acti	i For" IN TH For" (Total c nt or the nur	IS SP r inde nber o <i>amen</i>	ACE is p.) is the of claims diments	less thar e highes s origina may be i	i 3, enter it number lly filed. made can	"3." found	claims or	con	nolvina	
					lete (c) or					i i Olay I	on ipriasis	au	ueuj.	
(c)	X	No additio							,					
						OR								
(d)		Total addi	tional fe	e for			ed \$_							
					FEE PA	AYM	ENT							
	Att	ached is a	☐ chec	ck [∃ money ∈	orde	in ti	ne amo	ount of	\$				
	Αų	thorization is	s hereby	mad	de to char	ge t	ne am	ount of	\$					
		to Deposit	Accoun	it No										
		to Credit of	ard as : 2038.	show	n on the	attac	hed c	redit ca	ard info	rmatio	n autho	riz	ation	
WAR	NIN	: Credit card	informatio	on sho	ould not be	includ	ed on ti	his form	as it may	becon	ne public.			
	Ch ma	arge any ad nner authori	ditional 1 zed abo	fees ve.	required b	y thi	s pape	er or cr	edit an	y over	paymen	t iı	n the	
	Αd	luplicate of	this pap	er is	attached.									
										'				

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 3 of 4)

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. ☑ If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. <u>13-0610</u>

AND/OR

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 13-0610

Reg. No.: 20,931

Tel. No.: (517) 347-4100

Customer No.: 21036

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Ian C. McLeod

(type or print name of practitioner)

2190 Commons Parkway

P.O. Address

Okemos, Michigan 48864

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 4 of 4)



Appl. No.09/777,320

Amdt. Dated: April 30, 2004 Reply to Office Action of 2/26/2004 Attorney Docket No.: MSU 4.1-546

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln. No.: 09/777,320

Confirmation No. 4169

Applicants:

Donald Penner, Christy L. Sprague and

Richard F. Burow

Filed

: February 6, 2001

Title

: COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR PROTECTING CULTIVATED PLANTS FROM HERBICIDAL INJURY

TC/A.U. : 1616

Examiner : Alton Nathaniel Pryor

Docket No.: MSU 4.1-546

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.112

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of February 26, 2004, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 53 of this paper.